

COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Brussels, 11 March 2011

5764/11

JAI 51 COPEN 12 EUROJUST 10 ENFOPOL 14 COTER 9 FIN 44

NOTE

| 11012 | |
|-----------------|---|
| from: | Presidency |
| to: | CATS |
| No. prev. doc.: | 13318/10 REV 1 JAI 716 COPEN 175 EUROJUST 83 ENFOPOL 240 COTER 62 + COR 1 |
| | 15067/1/10 REV 1 JAI 859 COPEN 223 EUROJUST 111 ENFOPOL 292 COTER 72 FIN 490 |
| Subject: | Judicial dimension of the fight against terrorism - Follow-up to the CTC recommendations for action |

On 8 October 2010, the EU Counter-Terrorism Coordinator presented a number of recommendations to the Council on the judicial dimension of the fight against terrorism. At the CATS meetings of 26 October and 13 December 2010 two initial discussion took place on a possible follow-up to these CTC recommendations.

In accordance with the conclusions by the Chair of CATS, for each recommendation it is indicated whether it is a legislative or an operational one. Even though this distinction is not always very clear, as there are some recommendations which might be given a follow-up through either legislative or operational action or even both, most delegations have welcomed this distinction. Where recommendations address the implementation of already existing legislation, the Presidency has chosen to refer to them as operational. At the CATS meeting of 13 December 2010, it was agreed that COSI should have the primary responsibility for the follow-up to the operational recommendations.

5764/11 GS/np
DG H 2B EN

The Presidency has also tried to establish for each of the recommendations whether they are new or already exist, in some form or another (e.g. in existing EU legislation, political decisions, the Stockholm Programme or an action plan). The fact that a recommendation exists already, obviously does not imply that no further work is required. Moreover, the CTC's recommendation may emphasise a different aspect of a recommendation.

Following the CATS Chair's request at the meeting of 13 December 2010, a number of Member States have commented on some of the recommendations. The list of responsible actors has accordingly been changed in some instances. Some delegations have suggested modifications to some of the recommendations, but the Presidency has declined to amend these recommendations, firstly because these are the CTC's and not the Presidency's recommendations and secondly because this would require a prior and more thorough discussion.

The CTC has presented his recommendations to the Council but these were not put to the Council for formal adoption as a package. Obviously not all recommendations (will) meet with the approval of all Member States. Several delegations have stated their wish to have a discussion on the substance of these recommendations. Therefore the Presidency has added a fourth column to the annex, in which it suggests the procedural avenue for further follow-up to be given to the recommendations, mostly by mentioning the Council Working Party that could be tasked with the further follow-up. As COSI will of course have to decide the forum for following up the operational recommendations, COSI is always mentioned for those recommendations. A number of these recommendations correspond to proposals which are already being prepared (legislative), being implemented (operational) and therefore do not require specific follow-up action. These have been identified in the fourth column as ongoing initiatives.

In accordance with the above, the Presidency proposes the following course of action to be agreed by CATS:

- 1) for the following operational recommendations, COSI will be asked to refer them to the competent Working Party in order to examine what kind of follow-up is required: 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 16, 18, 19, 20 23 and 24;
- 2) the following legislative recommendations should be referred to the competent Working Party to determine what kind of further follow-up is required: 3, 4, 13, 14, 15, 17, 21, 22;
- 3) the following legislative recommendations correspond to measures under preparation or cannot be examined before existing legislation has been implemented or existing legislative proposals have been adopted and implemented: 8, 10 and 12.

5764/11 GS/np 3

EU CTC - Judicial dimension of the fight against terrorism – Suggested follow-up to CTC recommendations for action

| RECOMMENDATIONS | RESPON- SIBILITY | NATURE: LEGISLATIVE/ OPERATIONAL | NEW OR EXISTING | FOLLOW-UP WITHIN COUNCIL |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| I. Judicial organisation | | | | |
| R 1. Compensate for the lack of | EJTN/ | OPERATIONAL | NEW | COSI/COPEN |
| specialisation in those MS which | Eurojust | | | |
| choose not to centralise by | | | | |
| offering prosecutors and | | | | |
| magistrates dealing with terrorist | | | | |
| cases professional advice and | | | | |
| training sessions under the | | | | |
| European Judicial Training | | | | |
| Network and with the assistance | | | | |
| of the Eurojust National | | | | |
| Coordination System where the | | | | |
| contact points for terrorism have | | | | |
| a seat | | | | |
| R 2. Catalogue operating | MS/ | OPERATIONAL | NEW | COSI/TWG |
| methods between intelligence | Eurojust/ | | | |
| services and players in the | Europol | | | |
| judicial sphere and identify good | | | | |
| practice, taking account of their | | | | |
| respective areas of specialisation. | | | | |

| II. Special investigation | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|
| techniques and terrorist | | | | |
| financing | | | | |
| R 3. Work to improve mutual | MS/COM | OPERATIONAL/ | NEW | COSI/COPEN |
| awareness of good practices and | | LEGISLATIVE | | OR GENVAL |
| draw up model agreements, and | | | | |
| then establish a common judicial | | | | |
| framework for certain | | | | |
| investigative techniques such as | | | | |
| the use of undercover agents and | | | | |
| informers, or online searches, and | | | | |
| spell out the rules to be observed | | | | |
| in the case of surveillance and | | | | |
| undercover operations that | | | | |
| continue across borders | | | | |
| R 4. Strengthen cooperation | COM | LEGISLATIVE/ | EXISTING/ | COPEN |
| between MS so as to provide | | OPERATIONAL | NEW ¹ | |
| appropriate protection to | | | | |
| witnesses and others cooperating | | | | |
| with judicial action | | | | |
| R 5. Reinforce the MS' technical | Europol/C | OPERATIONAL | EXISTING ² | COSI/ |
| capacity and training in the | OM | | | GENVAL or |
| investigation of computer-based | | | | TWG |
| media by establishing a centre of | | | | |
| excellence at Europol, and | | | | |
| support this effort with EU | | | | |
| funding | | | | |

_

The Stockholm Programme (3.1.1) refers to the need to offer special protection measures to victims of crime or witnesses who are at risk within the Union. However, the planned Commission package on victims does not seem to cover this recommendation.

Council Conclusions 26 April 2010 an Action Plan to implement the concerted strategy to combat cybercrime.

| D C I | 3.60/ | OPED ATIONAL | EXHCEDIC | GOGT/ |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|
| R 6. Instruct the CARIN network | MS/ | OPERATIONAL | EXISTING | COSI/ |
| to promote more effective use of | Network | | 1 | GENVAL |
| the instruments governing | of EU | | | |
| cooperation on the detection of | asset | | | |
| assets, freezing, seizure and | recovery | | | |
| return, by compiling a handbook | offices/ | | | |
| on the use of these measures | EUROPOL | | | |
| R 7. Utilise the results of the 5th | EJTN | OPERATIONAL | NEW | COSI/ |
| mutual evaluation round to | | | | GENVAL |
| develop a training course in | | | | |
| financial investigations | | | | |
| R 8. Establish a framework of | COM/ | LEGISLATIVE | EXISTING ² | ONGOING |
| appropriate administrative | Council/ | | | INITIATIVE |
| measures for implementing a | EP | | | |
| preventive freeze on assets | | | | |
| pursuant to Article 75 TFEU | | | | |
| R 9. Develop the partnership with | FIUnet/ | OPERATIONAL | EXISTING | COSI/TWG |
| the private sector, notably by | COM/MS | | | or |
| improving the FIUs' system of | | | | GENVAL |
| feedback from banks in relation | | | | |
| to the financing of terrorism | | | | |
| R 10. Develop a European | COM/ | LEGISLATIVE | EXISTING ³ | ONGOING |
| terrorist finance tracking | Council/ | | | INITIATIVE |
| programme (EU=TFTP) | EP | | | |

Council Conclusions 28 May 2010 on Confiscation and Asset Recovery: 10) Foster financial investigations by making full use of existing cooperation tools within the framework of Europol, Eurojust and OLAF.

Article 75 TFEU calls for establishment of these measures and two expert meetings have already been organized by the Commission on his issue. The Commission has announced in its Communication on the Internal Security Strategy that in 2011 it will consider devising a framework for administrative measures under Article 75.

³ The Council Decision of 13 July 2010 on the conclusion of the TFTP Agreement with the US specifies that the Commission must submit a "legal and technical framework for the extraction of data on EU territory" by 1 August 2011. See also Stockholm Programme 4.5.

| III. Rights of defence | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| R 11. Establish an overview of | MS/COM | OPERATIONAL | NEW | COSI/ |
| the practices of the various | | | | COPEN ¹ |
| Member States as regards the | | | | |
| checking and protecting of | | | | |
| (intelligence) sources, particularly | | | | |
| in cross-border proceedings, | | | | |
| whilst safeguarding the rights of | | | | |
| defence, especially the principle | | | | |
| of an adversarial process | | | | |
| R 12. Implement as soon as | Council/ | LEGISLATIVE | EXISTING ² | ONGOING |
| possible the roadmap on | EP | | | INITIATIVE |
| protection of suspects in criminal | | | | |
| proceedings, as provided for in | | | | |
| the Stockholm Programme | | | | |
| IV. Judicial cooperation | | | | |
| R 13. Lay down the principle that | COM/MS | LEGISLATIVE | NEW ³ | COPEN ⁴ |
| evidence obtained in the context | | | | |
| of a joint investigation team in | | | | |
| one Member State, in accordance | | | | |
| with the procedural requirements | | | | |
| of that Member State, is to be | | | | |
| regarded as equivalent to | | | | |
| evidence properly obtained in the | | | | |
| Member State of the proceedings | | | | |
| as to substance | | | | |

It has been suggested that the COPEN WP could usefully draw upon the study carried out by Vernimmen-van Tiggelen/Surano, *The future of mutual recognition in the European Union*.

Stockholm Programme 2.4. This is work in progress, regarding measures A and B of the roadmap.

See however the *Green Paper on obtaining evidence in criminal matters from one Member State to another and securing its admissibility.*Some Member States suggested that the outcome of the discussions on the European

Some Member States suggested that the outcome of the discussions on the European Investigation Order (EIO) be awaited.

| principle to transfers of | |
|---|-----------------------|
| principle to damsters of | |
| proceedings | |
| R 15. Taking terrorism as a pilot COM/MS OPERATIONAL NEW/EX | KIS COSI/ |
| case, promote a mechanism for TING | COPEN ² |
| the settlement of conflicts of | |
| jurisdiction: strengthen the | |
| mechanisms laid down in the | |
| Framework Decision on the | |
| prevention and settlement of | |
| conflicts of exercise of | |
| jurisdiction in criminal | |
| proceedings, specifically for the | |
| area of terrorism | |
| R 16. Increase the use of joint MS/COM/ OPERATIONAL EXISTIN | NG ³ COSI/ |
| investigation teams and their EU Eurojust | COPEN |
| funding via Eurojust | |
| R 17. Adopt measures by which MS/ OPERATIONAL EXISTIN | NG ⁴ COSI/ |
| Europol and Eurojust should EUROPOL | COPEN |
| always be involved in joint EUROJUST | |
| investigation teams concerning | |
| terrorist cases | |

Some Member States suggested that the outcome of the discussions on the EIO be awaited.

Some Member States suggested that the implementation of the Framework Decision on the prevention and settlement of conflicts of exercise of jurisdiction in criminal proceedings be awaited.

Stockholm Programme 4.3.1.

Stockholm Programme 4.3.1.

| V. International perspective | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|---------|
| R 18. Make more systematic use | MS | OPERATIONAL | NEW/EXIS | COSI/ |
| of the Eurojust channel in cases | | | TING | COPEN/ |
| which extend outside the | | | | JAIEX 1 |
| European Union | | | | |
| R 19. Intensify EU assistance | COM/ | OPERATIONAL | EXISTING ² | COSI/ |
| programmes for third countries | EEAS/MS | | | JAIEX/ |
| confronted with terrorism, to | | | | COTER |
| strengthen their judicial | | | | |
| mechanisms | | | | |
| R 20. Take advantage of the | MS/ | OPERATIONAL | NEW | COSI/ |
| existence of liaison magistrates | Eurojust | | | COPEN |
| between third countries and MS | | | | |
| and, on the basis of those | | | | |
| experiences, extend this network | | | | |
| and establish Eurojust liaison | | | | |
| magistrates in third countries with | | | | |
| which more intense cooperation is | | | | |
| desirable | | | | |

_

Some Member States suggested that the implementation of current legislation (Decision 2003/48 JHA of 19 December 2002 + Article 27b of the Eurojust decision) be awaited.

² Certain forms of assistance exist already, but may have to be stepped up. See also Stockholm Programme 4.3.5.2.

| R 21. Start to draw up | COM/MS | LEGISLATIVE | NEW | COPEN |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------|------------------|-------|
| cooperation agreements with | | | | |
| those third countries most often | | | | |
| involved in terrorist cases under | | | | |
| investigation in the EU, in | | | | |
| particular to determine the legal | | | | |
| framework for the exchange of | | | | |
| information, arrangements for any | | | | |
| technical assistance, and the | | | | |
| conditions under which joint | | | | |
| investigation teams might be | | | | |
| established | | | | |
| R 22. Lay down basic criteria for | COM/MS | LEGISLATIVE | NEW ¹ | COPEN |
| the acceptance of evidence | | | | |
| gathered in a non-Member State | | | | |

_

See also the Austrian proposal on Police Equal Performance (PEP) – setting-up an umbrella strategy for South Eastern Europe (DS 1083/11).

| VI. Strategy for EU | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|
| prosecutions and criminal | | | | |
| policy | | | | |
| R 23. Increase judicial input in | MS/ | OPERATIONAL | EXISTING/ | COSI |
| the composition of COSI, by the | EUROJUST | | NEW | |
| participation of Eurojust and the | | | | |
| Consultative Forum of | | | | |
| Prosecutors General/Directors of | | | | |
| Prosecution | | | | |
| R 24. Evaluate the impact of the | COM/ | OPERATIONAL | NEW | COSI/ |
| 2002 and 2008 Framework | EUROJUST | | | COPEN ¹ |
| Decisions on terrorism: carry out | | | | |
| systematic and in-depth | | | | |
| monitoring of national case-law, | | | | |
| based in particular on Eurojust's | | | | |
| analysis (the Terrorism | | | | |
| Convictions Monitor) | | | | |

Some Member States suggested that the implementation of the 2008 Framework Decision be awaited. 1