

### COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Brussels, 20 December 2010

17750/10

Interinstitutional File: 2010/0802 (COD)

CODEC 1503 COPEN 287 PE 550

#### **NOTE**

from:	General Secretariat
to:	Permanent Representatives Committee/Council
Subject:	Initiative of the Kingdom of Belgium, the Republic of Bulgaria, the Kingdom of Spain, the Republic of Estonia, the French Republic, the Republic of Hungary, the Italian Republic, the Republic of Poland, the Republic of Portugal, Romania, the Republic of Finland and the Kingdom of Sweden for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Protection Order - Outcome of the European Parliament's first reading (Strasbourg, 13 to 16 December 2010)

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The rapporteurs, Mrs Teresa JIMÉNEZ-BECERRIL BARRIO (EPP - ES) and Mrs Carmen ROMERO LÓPEZ (S&D - ES) presented the amendments of the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs, and of the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality. No other amendments were tabled.

17750/10 JDC/cc 1

#### II. DEBATE

Mrs Teresa JIMÉNEZ-BECERRIL BARRIO (EPP - ES) opened the debate, which took place on 14 December 2010, and:

- recalled the useful dialogue that had occurred between the Parliament and the Council;
- noted that the Committees' text enjoyed a broad measure of support within the Parliament;
- stated her wish to send a clear message to Council on what European citizens want an area of security and protection. European citizens should be protected even when they cross borders
- emphasised the need to think of victims of human trafficking and organised crime.
   Particular attention should be paid to the needs of children and victims of domestic violence; and
- called on the Commission to come up with a broad range of legislation in this area.

### Mrs Carmen ROMERO LÓPEZ (S&D - ES):

- called for the right to physical integrity and dignity in the Charter to be applied in practice;
- argued that a proper area of freedom, security and justice requires victims of crime to be protected wherever they live;
- called for an instrument to reconcile the various different national legal traditions in this area;
- compared the amount of attention paid to terrorism on the one hand and to violence against women on the other hand. The latter results in the killing of 1,500 women in the European Union every year; and
- emphasised the need for victims to receive linguistic support.

17750/10 JDC/cc 2

#### Commissioner REDING:

- noted that the Commission is preparing an ambitious package on victims' rights which should be adopted in spring 2011;
- noted some of the Parliament's proposals, for example that victims should not have to bear costs, that they would receive translation and interpretation services and that delays in the recognition procedures should be reduced. She stated that she was ready to work on an effective and legally sound mechanism designed to help victims benefit from civil law protection measures across the European Union. She also noted that the corollary of improving the rights of the accused is to improve the rights of the victim.

Speaking on behalf of the EPP political group, Mrs Monica Luisa MACOVEI (EPP - RO):

- noted that thousands of Romanian women residing in Spain are subject to protection orders in Spain. She called for these protection orders to be made recognisable throughout the European Union; and
- supported the proposed Directive.

Speaking on behalf of the S&D political group, Mrs Silvia COSTA (S&D – IT):

- acknowledged that the current proposal might not be perfect, but argued that it did
  nonetheless help to fill a vacuum. She called for continuing efforts to address this issue
  empirically;
- expressed her preference for Article 3 to be the legal basis for the proposed Directive; and
- stressed the need to harmonise national legislation in this area.

Speaking on behalf of the ALDE political group, Mrs Izaskun BILBAO BARANDICA (ALDE – ES):

- stated that she would have liked a register of European protection orders, with proper guarantees of confidentiality and privacy, in order to reduce bureaucracy and to that ensure beneficiaries of protection orders can obtain their immediate execution. It would reduce the expense of the procedures to be followed by the competent authorities. It would also facilitate the harmonisation and continuing updating of statistics; and
- emphasised the need to explore cooperation with third countries. The External Action Service has missed the chance to sell itself to the general public as having a role in this area.

17750/10 JDC/cc 3

Speaking on behalf of the Greens/EFA political group, Mr Raül ROMEVA i RUEDA (Greens/EFA - ES) stressed the importance of combating 'macho terrorism'. He called for the political will to overcome the currently existing differences between different national legislations.

Speaking on behalf of the ECR political group, Mr Timothy KIRKHOPE (ECR – UK) supported the aims and objectives of the proposal, but expressed his concerns regarding the legal basis and scope. The proposal has only a criminal basis (Article 82), but some of the offences covered are civil law matters and there is a noticeable lack of use of Article 81. The legislation must be legally sound, robust and effectively implemented at Member State level - and without compromising existing Member State legal systems.

Speaking on behalf of the EFD political group, Mr Paul NUTTALL (EFD – UK):

- argued that the underlying goal of the current proposal is not to protect women, but to establish the foundations of a pan-European justice system which would undoubtedly undermine British law. He cited the European Arrest Warrant as a precedent; and
- predicted that it would be very difficult to enforce the protection orders in the United Kingdom, because some will be issued by non-British judges who know nothing about the conditions of British society or the British way of life.

Mr Jarosław WAŁĘSA (EPP – PL) called for the European Protection Cover to cover female circumcision, forced marriages and honour killings.

#### Mrs Nathalie GRIESBECK (ALDE - FR):

- regretted the fact that the translation of documents for victims had not been included in the text of the agreement and that the simplification elements had not been sufficiently explained. She was counting on the victims' rights package to fine-tune these; but
- nevertheless welcomed the progress made on this dossier.

17750/10 JDC/cc 4

#### Mrs Heidi HAUTALA (Greens/EFA - FI):

- called for the adoption of the proposed Directive as soon as possible. She argued that legal issues should not be used as a pretext for delaying adoption; and
- stressed the need to train the national administrations which will have to implement the proposed legislation.

Mrs Sonia ALFANO (ALDE - IT) argued that the proposal was flawed because it was focussed on the threat of stalking. It should also address the issue of organised crime, in which case women need protection against more than one individual.

Mr Jaroslav PAŠKA (EFD - SK) called for the protection of children from international marriages which fail. Such children are often taken illegally to other Member States.

#### Mrs Krisztina MORVAI (NI - HU):

- called for the provision of legal counselling and psychological support to victims; and
- called for the work of the Council of Europe in this area to be taken into account.

Mrs Teresa JIMÉNEZ-BECERRIL BARRIO once more took the floor and stressed the importance of good cooperation between the institutions.

#### Mrs Carmen ROMERO LÓPEZ:

- emphasised that the current proposal is intended to address home-grown European domestic violence. She recognised the need for an appropriate instrument to address female circumcision and honour killings, which are forms of violence that have origins outside the European Union; and
- called on the Council to show the political will needed to ensure that the proposal is
  effective.

17750/10 JDC/cc 5

Commissioner REDING once more took the floor and:

- emphasised the need for a thorough impact assessement to accompany the future victims' package, which would be formally proposed in May 2011. The impact assessment is currently being finalised; and
- recalled that four opinions had so far been received from national parliaments on the protection order. The Italian, Greek and Portuguese parliaments had sent positive opinions. The German Bundesrat had sent a negative opinion, arguing that the Directive would go beyond the scope of Article 82 because it covers non-criminal measures and the prevention of crime. The Directive was rejected on the grounds of subsidiarity.

#### III. **VOTE**

When it voted on 14 December 2010, the plenary adopted the Committee's amendments to the proposal for a Directive.

The Parliament's legislation resolution does not set out the adopted amendments one by one. Instead, the legislative resolution sets out the text of the Commission's proposal as modified by the amendments.

The text of the European Parliament legislative resolution is annexed to this note.

17750/10 JDC/cc **DOPG** 

EN

### **European Protection Order \*\*\*I**

European Parliament legislative resolution of 14 December 2010 on the draft directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Protection Order (00002/2010 – C7-0006/2010 – 2010/0802(COD))

(Ordinary legislative procedure: first reading)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the initiative emanating from a group of Member States (00002/2010),
- having regard to Article 76(b) and point (d) of the second subparagraph of Article 82(1) and Article 289(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, pursuant to which the Council submitted the draft act to Parliament (C7-0006/2010),
- having regard to Article 294(3) and (15) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,
- having regard to the opinion of the Committee on Legal Affairs on the proposed legal basis,
- having regard to the reasoned opinion submitted, in the framework of the Protocol (No 2) on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality, by a national parliament asserting that the draft legislative act does not comply with the principle of subsidiarity,
- having regard to the contributions submitted by national parliaments on the draft legislative act.
- having regard to Rules 37, 44 and 55 of its Rules of Procedure,
- having regard to the joint deliberations of the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs and of the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality pursuant to Rule 51 of the Rules of Procedure
- having regard to the report of the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs and of the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality (A7-0354/2010).
- 1. Adopts its position at first reading hereinafter set out;
- 2. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council, the Commission and the national parliaments.

#### P7 TC1-COD(2010)0802

Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 14 December 2010 with a view to the adoption of Directive 2010/.../EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on the European Protection Order

#### THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 82(1)(a) and (d) thereof,

Having regard to the initiative of the Kingdom of Belgium, the Republic of Bulgaria, the Republic of Estonia, the Kingdom of Spain, the French Republic, the Italian Republic, the Republic of Hungary, the Republic of Poland, the Portuguese Republic, Romania, the Republic of Finland and the Kingdom of Sweden,

After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,

Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure<sup>1</sup>,

#### Whereas:

- (1) The European Union has set itself the objective of maintaining and developing an area of freedom, security and justice.
- (2) Article 82(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) provides that judicial cooperation in criminal matters in the Union shall be based on the principle of mutual recognition of judgments and judicial decisions.
- (3) According to the Stockholm programme, adopted by the European Council at its meeting on 10 and 11 December 2009, mutual recognition could extend to all types of judgments and decisions of a judicial nature, which may, depending on the legal system, be either criminal or administrative. It also calls on the Commission and the Member States to examine how to improve legislation and practical support measures for the protection of victims. The programme also points out that victims of crime can be offered special protection measures which should be effective within the Union. This Directive will form part of a coherent and comprehensive set of measures on victims' rights.

17750/10 JDC/cc 8

Position of the European Parliament of 14 December 2010.

- (4) The resolution of the European Parliament of 26 November 2009 on the elimination of violence against women calls on the Member States to improve their national laws and policies to combat all forms of violence against women and to act in order to tackle the causes of violence against women, not least by employing preventive measures and calls on the Union to guarantee the right to assistance and support for all victims of violence. The resolution of the European Parliament of 10 February 2010 on equality between women and men in the European Union 2009 endorses the proposal to introduce the European protection order for victims.
- In a common area of justice without internal borders, it is necessary to ensure that the protection provided to a *natural* person in one Member State is maintained and continued in any other Member State to which the person moves or has moved. It should also be ensured that the legitimate exercise by citizens of the Union of their right to move and reside freely within the territory of Member States, in accordance with Article 3(2) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) and Article 21 of the TFEU, does not result in a loss of their *protection*.
- (6) In order to attain these objectives, this Directive should set out rules whereby the protection stemming from *certain* protection *measures* adopted according to the law of one Member State ("the issuing State") can be extended to another Member State *in* which the protected person *decides to reside or stay* ("the executing State").
- (7) This Directive considers the different legal traditions of the Member States as well as the fact that effective protection can be provided by means of protection orders taken by an authority other than a criminal court. This Directive does not establish obligations to modify national systems for adopting protection measures.
- (8) This Directive applies to protection measures which aim at protecting a person against a criminal act of another person which may, in any way, endanger his life, physical, psychological and sexual integrity, e.g. by preventing any form of harassment, as well as his dignity or personal liberty, e.g. by preventing abductions, stalking and other forms of indirect coercion, and aiming at avoiding new acts of crime or at reducing the consequences of previous acts of crime. These personal rights of the protected person correspond to fundamental values recognised and upheld in all Member States. It is important to underline that this Directive applies to protection measures which aim at protecting all victims and not only the victims of gender violence, taking into account the specificities of each type of crime concerned.
- (9) This Directive applies to protection measures, independently from the nature criminal, civil or administrative of the judicial or equivalent authority that adopts the decision concerned, be it in the context of criminal proceedings or in the context of any other proceedings with regard to an act which has been or could have been the object of proceedings by a court having jurisdiction in particular in criminal matters.
- (10) This Directive is intended to apply to protection measures issued in favour of victims, or possible victims, of crimes; it should not apply to measures issued with a view to witness protection.

- (11) If a protection measure, as defined in this Directive, is issued for the protection of a relative of the main protected person, an EPO may also be requested by and issued with regard to this relative, subject to the conditions laid out in this Directive.
- (12) Any request for the issuing of an EPO should be treated with adequate celerity taking into consideration the specific circumstances of the case, including the urgency of the matter, the date foreseen for the arrival of the protected person on the territory of the executing State and, where possible, the degree of risk for the protected person.
- (13) Where information is to be provided under this Directive to the person causing danger or the protected person, this information should also be provided to the guardian or the representative of the person concerned if they exist. Due attention should also be paid to the need for the protected person, the person causing danger or their representative in the proceedings, to receive information, as provided for by this Directive, in a language they understand.
- (14) In the procedures for the issuing and recognition of a European Protection order, competent authorities should give appropriate consideration to the needs of victims, including particularly vulnerable persons, e.g. minors or persons with disabilities. Due attention should also be paid to the need for the protected person or the person causing danger to receive information, as provided for by this Directive, in a language they understand.
- (15) For the application of this Directive, a protection measure may have been imposed following a judgment, as defined by Article 2 of Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgments and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions<sup>1</sup>, or following a decision on supervision measures as defined in Article 4 of Council Framework Decision 2009/829/JHA of 23 October 2009 on the application, between Member States of the European Union, of the principle of mutual recognition to decisions on supervision measures as an alternative to provisional detention<sup>2</sup>.
- (16) In conformity with Article 6 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and with Article 47, paragraph 2, of the Charter on Fundamental Rights of the European Union, the person causing danger should be provided, either in the procedure leading to the adoption of a protection measure or before issuing a European protection order, with the possibility to be heard and to challenge the protection measure.
- In order to prevent a crime or new crime being committed against the victim in the executing State, that State should be given a legal basis for recognising the decision previously adopted in the issuing State in favour of the victim, while also avoiding the need for the victim to start new proceedings or to produce the evidence in the

17750/10

JDC/cc

10

OJ L 337, 16.12.2008, p. 102.

OJ L 294, 11.11.2009, p. 20.

executing State again as if the issuing State had not adopted the decision. The recognition of the European protection order by the executing State implies inter alia that the competent authority of that State, subject to the limitations set out in this Directive, accepts the existence and validity of the protection measure adopted in the issuing State, acknowledges the factual situation described in the European protection order, and agrees that protection should be provided and should be continued to be provided in accordance with its national law.

- (18) This Directive contains a closed number of obligations or prohibitions which, when imposed in the issuing State and contained in the European protection order, should be recognised and enforced in the executing State, subject to the limitations set out in this Directive. Other types of protection measures may exist at national level, such as, if provided by national law, the obligation for the person causing danger to remain in a specified place. Such measures may be imposed in the issuing State in the framework of the procedure leading to the adoption of one of the protection measures which, according to this Directive, may be the basis for a European Protection Order.
- (19) Since in the Member States different kind of authorities (civil, criminal or administrative) are competent to issue and enforce protection measures, it seems appropriate to provide a high degree of flexibility in the cooperation mechanism between the Member States under this Directive. Therefore, the competent authority in the executing State does not in all cases have to take the same protection measure as adopted in the issuing State, but it has a degree of discretion to adopt any measure which it finds adequate and appropriate under its national law in a similar case in order to provide continued protection to the protected person in the light of the protection measure adopted in the issuing State and as described in the European protection order.
- (20) The obligations or prohibitions to which this Directive applies include, among others, measures aimed at limiting personal or remote contacts between the protected person and the person causing danger, e.g. by imposing certain modalities of such contacts or imposing restrictions on the contents of communications.
- (21) The competent authority of the executing State should inform the person causing danger, the competent authority of the issuing State and the protected person of any measure taken on the basis of the European protection order. In the notification of the person causing danger due regard should be taken to the interest of the protected person of not having his/her address or other contact details disclosed. Such details should be excluded from the notification, provided that the address or other contact detail is not comprised in the obligation or prohibition imposed as an enforcement measure on the person causing danger.
- When the competent authority in the issuing State has withdrawn the European protection order, the competent authority in the executing State should end the measures which it has adopted in order to enforce the European protection order, it being understood that the competent authority in the executing State may autonomously, according to its national law adopt any protection measure under its national law in order to protect the person concerned.

17750/10 JDC/cc 11

- Given that this Directive deals with situations in which the protected person moves to another Member State, executing its provisions does not imply any transfer to the executing State of powers relating to principal, suspended, alternative, conditional or secondary penalties, or relating to security measures imposed on the person causing danger, if the latter continues to reside in the State that issued the protection measure.
- (24) Where appropriate, it should be possible to use electronic means with a view to putting into practice the measures adopted in application of this Directive, in accordance with national laws and procedures.
- (25)In the framework of cooperation among the authorities involved in ensuring the safeguard of the protected person, the competent authority of the executing State should communicate to the competent authority of the issuing State any breach of the measures adopted in the executing State with a view to executing the European protection order. This communication should enable the competent authority of the issuing State to promptly decide on any appropriate reaction with respect to the protection measure imposed in its State on the person causing danger. Such reaction may comprise, where appropriate, the imposition of a custodial measure in substitution of the non-custodial measure originally adopted, e.g. as alternative to preventive detention or as a consequence of conditional suspension of a penalty. It is understood that such decision, since it does not consist in the imposition ex novo of a criminal sanction in relation to a new criminal offence, does not interfere with the possibility that the executing State may, where applicable, impose criminal or noncriminal sanctions in case of breach of the measures adopted in order to execute the European protection order.
- (26) Considering the different legal traditions of the Member States, where no protection measure would be available in the executing State in a case similar to the factual situation described in the European protection order, the competent authority of the executing State should report any breach of the protection measure described in the European protection order of which it is aware to the competent authority of the issuing State.
- (27) In order to achieve the smooth application of this Directive in each particular case, the competent authorities of the issuing and the executing States should exercise their competencies in conformity with the provisions of this Directive, taking into account the principle of ne bis in idem.
- (28) The protected person should not be required to sustain costs for the recognition of the European protection order which are disproportionate with respect to a similar national case. When implementing this Directive, Member States should ensure that, after recognition of the European protection order, the protected person should not be required to initiate further national proceedings to obtain from the executing authority, as a direct consequence of the recognition of the European protection order, the decision adopting any measure that would be available under its national law in a similar case in order to ensure the protection of the protected person.
- (29) Bearing in mind the principle of mutual recognition on which this Directive is based, Member States should promote to the widest extent possible the direct contact between the competent authorities in the application of this instrument.

- (30) Without prejudice to judicial independence and differences in the organisation of the judiciary across the Union, Member States should consider requesting those responsible for the training of judges, prosecutors, police and judicial staff involved in the procedures aiming at issuing or recognizing a European protection order to provide appropriate training with respect to the objectives of this Directive.
- (31) In order to facilitate the evaluation of the application of this Directive, Member States should communicate to the European Commission relevant data related to the application of national procedures on the European protection order, at least on the number of European protection orders requested, issued and/or recognized. In this respect, other types of data, such as for example the types of crimes concerned, would also be useful.
- (32) Since the objective of this Directive, namely to protect persons who are in danger, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States alone, given the cross-border nature of the situations involved, and can therefore by reason of, the scale and effects be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that objective.
- (33) This Directive should contribute to the protection of persons who are in danger, thereby complementing but not affecting the instruments already in place in this field, such as Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA and Council Framework Decision 2009/829/JHA.
- (34) When a decision relating to a protection measure falls within the scope of Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters<sup>1</sup>, Council Regulation (EC) No 2201/2003 concerning jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgements in matrimonial matters and the matters of parental responsibility<sup>2</sup>, or the 1996 Hague Convention on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Cooperation in respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children<sup>3</sup>, the recognition and enforcement of such decision should be carried out in accordance with the provisions of that legal instrument.
- (35) Member States and the Commission should include information about the European protection order, where it is appropriate, in existing education and awareness-raising campaigns on the protection of victims of crime.
- (36) Personal data processed when implementing this Directive should be protected in accordance with Council Framework Decision 2008/977/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the protection of personal data processed in the framework of police and judicial

OJ L 12, 16.1.2001, p. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> OJ L 338, 23.12.2003, p. 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> OJ L 12, 16.1.2001, p. 1.

- cooperation in criminal matters<sup>1</sup> and in accordance with the principles laid down in the Council of Europe Convention of 28 January 1981 for the Protection of Individuals with regard to Automatic Processing of Personal Data, which all Member States have ratified.
- (37) This Directive should respect the fundamental rights, as guaranteed by the Charter on Fundamental Rights of the European Union and by the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, in conformity with Article 6 of the TEU.
- (38) When implementing this Directive, Member States are encouraged to take into account the rights and principles enshrined in the Convention on the elimination of all forms of discrimination against women (CEDAW).

HAVE ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

### Article 1 Objective

This Directive sets out rules allowing a judicial or equivalent authority in a Member State, in which a protection measure has been issued with a view to protecting a person against a criminal act of another person which may endanger his life, physical or psychological integrity and dignity, personal liberty or sexual integrity, to issue a European protection order enabling a competent authority in another Member State to continue the protection of the person concerned in the territory of this Member State, following the commission in the issuing State of an act which has been or could have been the object of proceedings by a court having jurisdiction in particular in criminal matters.

#### Article 2 Definitions

For the purposes of this Directive the following definitions shall apply:

- "European protection order" means a decision, taken by a judicial or equivalent authority of a Member State in relation with a protection measure, on the basis of which a judicial or equivalent authority of another Member State takes any appropriate measure or measures under its own national law with a view to continue the safeguard of the protected person.
- "Protection measure" means a decision adopted in the issuing State in accordance with its national law and procedures by which one or more of the obligations or prohibitions, referred to in Article 5, are imposed on a person causing danger to the benefit of a protected person with a view to protecting the latter against a criminal act which may endanger his life, physical or psychological integrity, dignity, personal liberty or sexual integrity.
- 3) "Protected person" means the *natural person who is* the object of the protection stemming from a protection measure adopted by the issuing State.

17750/10 JDC/cc 14

OJ L 350, 30.12.2008, p. 60.

- 4) "Person causing danger" means the *natural* person on whom one or more of the obligations or prohibitions, referred to in *Article 5*, have been imposed.
- 5) "Issuing State" means the Member State in which a protection measure has been adopted, constituting the basis for issuing a European protection order.
- 6) "Executing State" means the Member State to which a European protection order has been forwarded with a view to its recognition.
- 7) "State of supervision" means the Member State to which a judgment, as defined in Article 2 of Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA, or a decision on supervision measures, as defined in Article 4 of Framework Decision 2009/829/JHA, has been transferred.

# Article 3 Designation of competent authorities

1. Each Member State shall inform the *Commission* which judicial *or equivalent* authority or authorities are competent under its national law to issue a European protection order and to recognise such an order, in accordance with this Directive, when that Member State is the issuing State or the executing State.

2. The *Commission* shall make the information received available to all Member States. *Member States shall inform* the Commission *on any change related to the information referred to in paragraph 1*.

### Article 4 Recourse to a central authority

- 1. Each Member State may designate a central authority or, where its legal system so provides, more than one central authority to assist its competent authorities.
- 2. A Member State may, if it is necessary as a result of the organisation of its internal judicial system, make its central authority(ies) responsible for the administrative transmission and reception of any European protection order, as well as for all other official correspondence relating thereto. As a consequence, all communications, consultations, exchanges of information, enquiries and notifications between competent authorities may be dealt with, where appropriate, with the assistance of the central authority(ies) of the Member State concerned.
- 3. Member States wishing to make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article shall communicate to the Commission information relating to the designated central authority or central authorities. These indications shall be binding upon all the authorities of the issuing Member State.

### Article 5 Condition of existence of a protection measure under national law

17750/10 JDC/cc 15

- A European protection order may only be issued when a protection measure has been previously ordered in the issuing State, imposing on the person causing danger one or more of the following obligations or prohibitions:
- (a) a prohibition from entering certain localities, places or defined areas where the protected person resides or that he visits;
- (b) a prohibition or regulation of contact, in any form, with the protected person, including by phone, electronic or ordinary mail, fax or any other means; or
- (c) a prohibition or regulation on approaching the protected person closer than a prescribed distance.

### Article 6 Issue of a European protection order

- 1. A European protection order may be issued when the protected person decides to reside or already resides in another Member State, or when the protected person decides to stay or already stays in another Member State. When deciding upon the issuing of a European protection order, the competent authority in the issuing State shall take into account, inter alia, the length of the period or periods time for which the protected person envisages to stay in the executing State and the seriousness of the need for protection.
- 2. A judicial or equivalent authority of the issuing State may issue a European protection order only at the request of the protected person and after verifying that the protection measure meets all the requirements set out in Article 5.
- 3. The protected person may submit a request for *issuing* a European protection order either to the competent authority of the issuing State or to the competent authority of the executing State. If such a request is submitted in the executing State, its competent authority shall transfer this request as soon as possible to the competent authority of the issuing State ...
- 4. Before issuing an European protection order the person causing danger shall be given the right to be heard and the right to challenge the protection measure, if he has not had these rights in the procedure leading to the adoption of the protection measure.
- 5. When a competent authority adopts a protection measure containing one or more of the obligations referred to in Article 5, it shall inform the protected person in any appropriate way in accordance with procedures under its national law about the possibility of requesting a European protection order in case he decides to leave for another Member State, as well as of the basic conditions for such request. The authority shall advise the protected person to submit the application before leaving the territory of the issuing State.
- 6. If the protected person has a guardian or representative, that person may introduce the request referred to in paragraph 2 and 3, on behalf of the protected person.
- 7. When the request to issue a European protection order is rejected, the issuing authority shall inform the protected person about legal remedies available, where applicable, under its national law against its decision.

17750/10 JDC/cc 16

DQPG EI

### Article 7 Form and content of the European protection order

The European protection order shall be *issued* in accordance with the form set out in Annex I to this Directive. It shall in particular contain the following information:

- (a) the identity and nationality of the protected person, as well as the identity and nationality of the person's *guardian or* representative if the protected person is a minor or is legally incapacitated;
- (b) the date from which the protected person intends to reside or stay in the executing State, and the period or periods of stay, if known;
- (c) the name, address, telephone and fax numbers, and e-mail address of the competent authority of the issuing State;
- (d) the identification (e.g. through a number and date) of the legal act containing the protection measure on the basis of which the European protection order is adopted;
- (e) a summary of the facts and circumstances which have led to the imposition of the protection measure in the issuing State;
- (f) the obligations or prohibitions imposed in the protection measure underlying the European protection order on the person causing danger, their length and the indication of the penalty or sanction, if any, in case of the breach of the respective obligation or prohibition;
- (g) the use of a technical device, if any, that has been provided to the protected person or to the person causing danger as a means to enforce the protection measure;
- (h) the identity and nationality of the person causing danger, as well as his contact details;
- (i) where such information is known by the issuing authority without requiring further inquiry, the fact whether the protected person and/or the person causing danger has been granted free legal aid in the issuing State.
- (j) where appropriate, other circumstances that could have an influence on the assessment of the danger that confronts the protected person;
- (k) the express indication, where applicable, that a judgement, as defined by Article 2 of Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA, or a decision on supervision measures, as defined by Article 4 of Council Framework Decision 2009/829/JHA, has already been transferred to *the* State *of supervision* and the identification of the competent authority *of that State* for the enforcement of such a judgment or decision.

#### Article 8 Transmission procedure

1. Where the competent authority of the issuing State transmits the European protection order to the competent authority of the executing State, it shall do so by any means which leaves a written record so as to allow the competent authority of the executing Member State to

17750/10 JDC/cc 17

establish its authenticity. All official communication shall also be made directly between the said competent authorities.

- 2. If the competent authority of either the executing or the issuing State is not known to the competent authority of the other State, the latter authority shall make all the relevant enquiries, including via the contact points of the European Judicial Network *referred to in Council Decision 2008/976/JHA of 16 December 2008 on the European Judicial Network*<sup>1</sup>, the National Member of Eurojust or the National System for the coordination of Eurojust of its State, in order to obtain the required information.
- 3. When an authority of the executing State which receives a European protection order has no competence to recognise it, that authority shall, ex officio, forward the European protection order to the competent authority and shall without delay inform the competent authority of the issuing State accordingly by any means which leaves a written record.

### Article 9 Measures in the executing State

- 1. Upon receipt of a European protection order transmitted in accordance with Article 8, the competent authority of the executing State shall without undue delay recognise that order and take a decision adopting any measure that would be available under its national law in a similar case in order to ensure the protection of the protected person, unless it decides to invoke one of the grounds for non-recognition referred to in Article 10.
- 2. The measure adopted by the competent authority of the executing State in accordance with paragraph 1, as well as any other measure taken on the basis of a subsequent decision referred to in Article 11, shall correspond, to the highest degree possible, to the protection measure ordered in the issuing State.
- 3. The competent authority of the executing State shall inform the *person causing danger*, the competent authority of the issuing State and the protected person *of any* measures *taken in application of paragraph 1, as well as of the possible legal consequence of a breach of such measure, as provided under national law and in accordance with Article 11(2). The address or other contact details of the protected person shall not be disclosed to the person causing danger unless necessary in view of the enforcement of the measure adopted in application of paragraph 1.*
- 4. If the competent authority in the executing State considers that the information transmitted with the European protection order according to Article 7 is incomplete, it shall without delay inform the issuing authority by any means which leaves a written record, assigning a reasonable term for the issuing authority to provide the missing information.

Article 10 Grounds for non-recognition of a European protection order

1	OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 130.

17750/10 JDC/cc 18

- 1. The competent authority of the executing State may refuse to recognise a European protection order in the following circumstances:
- (a) the European protection order is not complete or has not been completed within the time-limit set by the competent authority of the executing State;
- (b) the requirements set out in *Article 5* have not been met;
- (c) the protection measure relates to an act that does not constitute a criminal offence under the law of the executing State;
- (d) the protection derives from the execution of a penalty or measure that is covered by amnesty according to the law of the executing State and relates to an act or behaviour which falls within its competence according to that law;
- (e) there is immunity conferred under the law of the executing State on the person causing danger, which makes it impossible to adopt measures on the basis of a European protection order;
- (f) criminal prosecution against the person causing danger for the act or behaviour in relation to which the protection measure has been adopted is statute-barred under the law of the executing State, when the act or behaviour falls within its competence under its national law;
- (g) recognition of the European protection order would contravene the ne bis in idem principle;
- (h) under the law of the executing State, the person causing danger cannot, because of his age, be held criminally responsible for the act or behaviour in relation to which the protection measure has been adopted.
- (i) the protection measure relates to a criminal offence which under the law of the executing State is regarded as having been committed wholly or for a major or essential part within its territory.
- 2. Where the competent authority of the executing State refuses to recognize a European protection order in application of one of the above grounds, it shall:
- (a) inform the issuing State and the protected person without undue delay of this refusal and of its motivation;
- (b) where appropriate, inform the protected person about the possibility of requesting the adoption of a protection measure according to its national law;
- (c) where applicable, inform the protected person about legal remedies available under its national law against its decision.

### Article 11 Governing law and competence in the executing State

1. The executing State has competence to adopt and to enforce measures in that State following the recognition of a European protection order. The law of the executing State

17750/10 JDC/cc 19

applies to the adoption and enforcement of the decision foreseen in Article 9 (1), including rules on legal remedies against decisions adopted in the executing State relating to the European protection order.

- 2. In case of a breach of one or more of the measures taken by the executing State following the recognition of a European protection order, the competent authority of the executing State has, in application of paragraph 1, the competences to:
- a) impose criminal sanctions and take any other measure as consequence of the breach of such measure, if this amounts to a criminal offence under the law of the executing State;
- b) take any non-criminal decisions related to the breach;
- c) take any urgent and provisional measure in order to put an end to the breach, pending, where appropriate, a subsequent decision by the issuing State.
- 3. If there is no available measure at national level in a similar case to be taken in the executing State, the competent authority of the executing State shall report to the competent authority of the issuing State any breach of the protection measure described in the European protection order of which it is aware.

### Article 12 Notification in case of breach

The competent authority of the executing State shall notify the competent authority of the issuing State or of the State of supervision of any breach of the measure or measures taken on the basis of the European protection order. Notice shall be given using the standard form set out in Annex II.

### Article 13 *Competence* in the issuing State

- 1. The competent authority of the issuing State shall have *exclusive competence to take decisions relating to*:
- (a) the renewal, review, *modification*, *revocation* and withdrawal of the protection measure *and*, *consequently*, *of the European protection order*;
- (b) the imposition of a custodial measure as a consequence of revoking the protection measure, provided that the protection measure has been applied on the basis of a judgement, as defined by Article 2 of Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA, or on the basis of a decision on supervision measures, as defined in Article 4 of Council Framework Decision 2009/829/JHA;
- 2. The law of the issuing State shall apply to decisions taken pursuant to paragraph 1.
- 3. Where a judgment, as defined in Article 2 of Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA, or a decision on supervision measures, as defined in Article 4 of Council Framework Decision 2009/829/JHA, has already been transferred, *or is transferred after the*

17750/10 JDC/cc 20

*issuing of the European protection order*, to another Member State, subsequent decisions shall be taken in accordance with the relevant provisions of those Framework Decisions.

- 4. The competent authority of the issuing Member State shall inform without delay the competent authority of the executing Member State of any decision taken in accordance with paragraph 1.
- 5. If the competent authority in the issuing State has revoked or withdrawn the European protection order in accordance with paragraph 1, point a), the competent authority in the executing State shall end the measures adopted in accordance with Article 9(1) as soon as it has been duly notified by the competent authority of the issuing State.
- 6. If the competent authority in the issuing State has modified the European protection order in accordance with paragraph 1, point a), the competent authority in the executing State shall, as appropriate
- (a) change the measures taken on the basis of the European protection order, acting in accordance with Article 9; or
- (b) refuse to enforce the modified obligation or prohibition when it does not fall within the types of obligations or prohibitions referred to in Article 5 or if the information transmitted with the European protection order according to Article 7 is incomplete and has not been completed within the time-limit set by the competent authority of the executing State according to Article 9(4).

#### Article 14

Grounds for discontinuation of measures taken on the basis of a European protection order

- 1. The competent authority of the executing State may discontinue the measures taken in execution of a European protection order:
- (a) where there is clear indication that the protected person does not reside or stay in the territory of the executing State, or has definitively left that territory;
- (b) when, according to its national law, the maximum term of duration of the measures adopted in execution of the European protection order has expired;
- (c) in the case referred to in Article 13(6)(b);
- (d) where a judgment, as defined in Article 2 of Council Framework Decision 20008/947/JHA, or a decision on supervision measures, as defined in Article 4 of Council Framework Decision 2009/829/JHA, is transferred to the executing State after the recognition of the European protection order.
- 2. The competent authority of the executing State shall immediately inform the competent authority of the issuing State and where possible, the protected person of such decision.
- 3. Before discontinuing measures in accordance with paragraph 1, point b) the competent authority of the executing State may invite the competent authority of the issuing State to provide information as to whether the protection provided for by the European

17750/10 JDC/cc 21

protection order is still needed in the circumstances of the particular case at hand. The competent authority of the issuing State shall, without delay, reply to such an invitation.

### Article 15 Priority in recognition of a European protection order

The European protection order shall be recognized with the same priority which would be applicable in a similar national case, taking into consideration the specific circumstances of the case, including the urgency of the matter, the date foreseen for the arrival of the protected person on the territory of the executing State and, where possible, the degree of risk for the protected person.



### Article 16 Consultations between competent authorities

Where appropriate, the competent authorities of the issuing State and of the executing State may consult each other in order to facilitate the smooth and efficient application of this Directive.

### Article 17 Languages

- 1. The European protection order shall be translated by the competent authority of the issuing State into the official language or one of the official languages of the executing State.
- 2. The form referred to in Article 12 shall be translated by the competent authority of the executing State into the official language or one of the official languages of the issuing State.
- **3.** Any Member State may, either when this Directive is adopted or at a later date, state in a declaration deposited with the *Commission* that it will accept a translation in one or more other official languages of the institutions of the Union.

#### Article 18 Costs

Costs resulting from the application of this Directive shall be borne by the executing State, *in accordance with its national law*, except for costs arising exclusively within the territory of the issuing State.

### Article 19 Relation to other agreements and arrangements

- 1. Member States may continue to apply bilateral or multilateral agreements or arrangements which are in force upon the entry into force of this Directive, insofar as they allow the objectives of this Directive to be extended or enlarged and help to simplify or facilitate further the procedures for taking protection measures.
- 2. Member States may conclude bilateral or multilateral agreements or arrangements after the entry into force of this Directive, insofar as they allow the objectives of this Directive to be

17750/10 JDC/cc 22

extended or enlarged and help to simplify or facilitate the procedures for taking protection measures.

3. By ...\*, Member States shall notify the Commission of the existing agreements and arrangements referred to in paragraph 1 which they wish to continue applying. Member States shall also notify the Commission of any new agreements and arrangements as referred to in paragraph 2, within three months of signing such an agreement.

### Article 20 Relationship with other instruments

- 1. This Directive shall not affect the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters<sup>1</sup>, nor of Council Regulation (EC) No 2201/2003 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in matrimonial matters and in matters of parental responsibility for joint children, repealing Regulation (EC) No 1347/2000<sup>2</sup>, nor of the 1996 Hague Convention on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Cooperation in respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children, nor of the 1980 Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction.
- 2. This Directive shall not affect the application of Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA and Council Framework Decision 2009/829/JHA.

### Article 21 Implementation

- 1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions to comply with this Directive by ...\*. They shall forthwith inform the Commission thereof. When Member States adopt those measures, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or shall be accompanied by such reference on the occasion of their official publication. The methods of making such reference shall be laid down by the Member States.
- 2. Member States shall *communicate* to the Commission the text of the *main* provisions *of* national law *which they adopt in* the *field covered by* this Directive.

### Article 22 Data collection

Member States shall, in order to facilitate the evaluation of the application of this Directive, communicate to the European Commission relevant data related to the application of national procedures on the European protection order, at least on the number of European protection orders requested, issued and/or recognised.

17750/10 JDC/cc 23

<sup>\*</sup> OJ: please insert date: 3 months after the entry into force of this Directive

OJ L 12, 16.1.2001, p. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> OJ L 338, 23.12.2003, p. 1.

<sup>\*</sup> OJ: please insert date: *3 years* after the entry into force of this Directive.

#### Article 23 Review

By ....\*, the Commission shall *submit* a report *to the European Parliament and to* the Council *on* the application of this Directive. The report shall be accompanied, if necessary, by legislative proposals.

### Article 24 Entry into force

This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

Done at

For the European Parliament The President For the Council The President

\_

17750/10 JDC/cc 24

<sup>\*</sup> OJ: please insert date 4 years after the entry into force of this Directive.

#### ANNEX I

### EUROPEAN PROTECTION ORDER referred to in Article 7 of

### DIRECTIVE 2010/.../EU OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL OF... ON THE EUROPEAN PROTECTION ORDER\*

The information contained in this form is to be treated with appropriate confidentiality

	construction continued in this joint is to be treated with uppropriate confidence and
Issuing	State:
Execut	ing State:
(a)	Information regarding the protected person:
	Surname:
	Forename(s):
	Maiden <i>or previous</i> name, where applicable:
	Sex:
	Nationality:
	Identity number or social security number (if any):
	Date of birth:
	Place of birth:
	Addresses/residences:
	- in the issuing State:
	- in the executing State:
	– elsewhere:
	Language(s) understood (if known):

17750/10

25

EN

JDC/cc

<sup>\*</sup> OJ: please insert the number and date of this Directive.

	If information is available without further enquiry, has the protected person been granted free legal aid in the issuing State?
	□ Yes.
	$\square$ No.
	□ Unknown.
	Where the protected person is a minor or is legally incapacitated, information regarding the natural person's <i>guardian or</i> representative :
	Surname:
	Forename(s):
	Maiden <i>or previous</i> name, where applicable:
	Sex:
	Nationality:
	Office address:
<i>(b)</i>	The protected person has decided to reside or already resides in the executing Member State, or has decided to stay or already stays in the executing Member State.
	Date from which the protected person intends to reside or stay in the executing State (if known):
	Period(s) of stay (if known):
(c)	Have any <i>technical devices</i> _been provided to the protected person <i>or to the person causing danger to enforce</i> the protection measure :
	☐ Yes; please give a short summary of the instruments used:
	□ No.

(d) Competent authority which issued the European protection order: Official name: Full address: Tel. no.: (country code) (area/city code) (number) Fax no.: (country code) (area/city code) (number) Details of the person(s) to be contacted Surname: Forename(s): Position (title/grade): Tel. no.: (country code) (area/city code) (number) Fax no.: (country code) (area/city code) (number) E-mail (if any): Languages that may be used for communication: (e) Identification of the protection measure on the basis of which the European protection order has been issued: The protection measure was issued on (date: DD-MM-YYYY): The protection measure became enforceable on (date: DD-MM-YYYY): File reference of the protection measure (if available): Authority that adopted the protection measure: (f) Summary of the facts and description of the circumstances, including, where applicable, the classification of the offence, which have led to the imposition of the protection measure mentioned under (e) above:

17750/10 JDC/cc 27 **DOPG** EN

(g)		cations regarding the obligation(s) or prohibition(s) that have been imposed by the section measure on the person causing danger:
	_	Nature of the obligation(s): (you can tick more than one box):
		a prohibition from entering certain localities, places or defined areas where the protected person resides or that he visits;
		<ul> <li>if you ticked this box, please indicate precisely which localities, places or defined areas the person causing danger is prohibited from entering:</li> </ul>
		a prohibition or regulation of contact, in any form, with the protected person, including by phone, electronic or ordinary mail, fax or any other means;
		<ul> <li>if you ticked this box, please provide any relevant details:</li> </ul>
		a prohibition or regulation on approaching the protected person closer than a prescribed distance;
		<ul> <li>if you ticked this box, please indicate precisely the distance which the person causing danger has to observe in respect of the protected person:</li> </ul>
	_	Please indicate the length of time during which the abovementioned obligation(s) are imposed on the person causing danger:
	_	Indication of the penalty or sanction (if any) in case of the breach of the prohibition:

(h)	Information regarding the person causing danger on whom the obligation(s) mentioned under (g) have been imposed:				
	Surname:				
	Forename(s):				
	Maiden <i>or previous</i> name, where applicable:				
	Aliases, where applicable:				
	Sex:				
	Nationality:				
	Identity number or social security number (if any):				
	Date of birth:				
	Place of birth:				
	Addresses/residences:				
	– in the issuing State:				
	- in the executing State:				
	– elsewhere:				
]	Language(s) understood (if known):				
	If available, please provide the following information:				
	- Type and number of the identity document(s) of the person (ID card, passport):				
	If information is available without further enquiry, has the person causing danger been granted free legal aid in the issuing State?				
	$\square$ Yes.				
	$\square$ No.				
	□ Unknown.				

(i)			umstances that could have an influence on the assessment of the danger that ct the protected person (optional information):	
<i>(j)</i>	Other useful information (such as, where available and necessary, information on other States where protection measures have been previously taken with respect to the same protected person):			
(k)	Please tick the box where appropriate and complete:			
		-	dgment, as defined by Article 2 of Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA, already been transmitted to another Member State	
		_	If you ticked this box, please provide the contact details of the competent authority to whom the judgment has been forwarded:	
			cision on supervision measures, as defined by Article 4 of Council Framework ision 2009/829/JHA has already been transmitted to another Member State	
		-	If you ticked this box, please provide the contact details of the competent authority to whom the decision on supervision measures has been forwarded:	
	_		of the authority issuing the European protection order and/or of its representative the accuracy of the content of the order:	
	Nar	ne:		
	Pos	ition (1	itle/grade):	
	Dat	e:		
	File	refere	nce (if any):	
	(Wł	nere ap	propriate) Official stamp:	

#### ANNEX II

### FORM referred to in Article 12 of

## DIRECTIVE 2010/.../EU OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL OF... ON THE EUROPEAN PROTECTION ORDER\*

### NOTIFICATION OF A BREACH OF THE PROTECTION MEASURE TAKEN ON THE BASIS OF THE EUROPEAN PROTECTION ORDER

The information contained in this form is to be treated with appropriate confidentiality

(a)	Details of the identity of the person causing danger
	Surname:
	Forename(s):
	Maiden <i>or previous</i> name, where applicable:
	Aliases, where applicable:
	Sex:
	Nationality:
	Identity number or social security number (if any):
	Date of birth:
	Place of birth:
	Address:
	Language(s) understood (if known):

<sup>\*</sup> OJ: please insert the number and date of this Directive.

(b)	Details of the identity of the protected person:
	Surname:
	Forename(s):
	Maiden <i>or previous</i> name, where applicable:
	Sex:
	Nationality:
	Date of birth:
	Place of birth:
	Address:
	Language(s) understood (if known):
(c)	Details of the European protection order:
	Order issued on:
	File reference (if any):
	Authority which issued the order:
	Official name:
	Address:
(d)	Details of the authority responsible for the execution of the protection measure, if any, which was taken in the executing State in line with the European protection order:
	Official name of the authority:
	Name of the person to be contacted:
	Position (title/grade):
	Address:
	Tel.: (country code) (area code) (number)
	Fax: (country code) (area code) (number)
	E-mail:
	Languages that may be used for communication:

(e)	Breach of the obligation(s) <i>imposed by the competent authorities of the execu</i> Member State following recognition the European protection order and/or oth which could result in taking any subsequent decision:			
	The breach concerns the following obligation(s) (you can tick more than one box):			
		a prohibition from entering certain localities, places or defined areas where the protected person resides or that he visits;		
		a prohibition or regulation of contact, in any form, with the protected person, including by phone, electronic or ordinary mail, fax or any other means;		
		a prohibition or regulation on approaching the protected person closer than a prescribed distance;		
		any other measure, corresponding to the protection measure at the basis of the European protection order, taken by the competent authorities of the executing Member State following recognition of the European protection order		
	Desc	ription of the breach(es) (place, date and specific circumstances):		
	In ac	ccordance with Article 11 (2):		
	- med	asures taken in the executing State as a consequence of the breach:		
	- pos	sible legal consequence of the breach in the executing State:		
	Othe	r findings which could result in taking any subsequent decision		
	Desc	ription of the findings:		
(f)	Details of the person to be contacted if additional information is to be obtained concerning the breach:			
	Surna	ame:		
	Forei	name(s):		
	Addr	ress:		
	Tel. 1	no.: (country code) (area/city code) (number)		
	Fax r	no.: (country code) (area/city code) (number)		
	E-ma	nil:		
	Lang	uages that may be used for communication:		
	_	ature of the authority issuing the form and/or its representative, to confirm that the ents of the form are correct:		
	Nam	e:		

Position (title/grade):
Date:
Official stamp (where applicable):

DQPG