

COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Brussels, 4 October 2010

13049/1/10 REV 1

COPEN 170 EJN 32 EUROJUST 81 CODEC 754

NOTE

ooperation in Criminal Matters
59 EUROJUST 70 EJN 26 CODEC 692
gdom of Belgium, the Republic of Bulgaria, the Republic of m of Spain, the Republic of Austria, the Republic of Slovenia Sweden for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the European Investigation Order in criminal matters destionnaire related to issuing authorities in application of the buncil Framework Decision on the European Investigation

Delegations will find in Annex the answers to the questionnaire related to issuing authorities in application of the initiative for a Council Framework Decision on the European Investigation Order (doc. 12212/10).

13049/1/10 REV 1 AL/mvk
DG H 2B EN

Questions

- 1. Please indicate whether, in accordance with national law of your Member State, an authority, other than an judge, a court, an investigating magistrate or a public prosecutor, could be defined as a judicial authority in accordance with Article 2(a)(ii) of the proposal for a Directive.
- 2. If the answer to the first question is YES, please specify the kind of authorities concerned.
- 3. If the answer to the first question is YES, please indicate whether such an authority is competent under the national law of your Member State to order all kind of investigative measures or only specific ones, and for all types of offences or only specific ones, specifying them if need be.

Member State	Question 1	Question 2	Question 3
AT	Yes	The answer to this question depends to a large extent on the types of procedures for which an EIO can be issued. If Art. 4 (b) is retained all administrative authorities competent for administrative penalties would have to be defined as judicial authorities. Administrative authorites competent for administrative penalties include the local district authorities (Bezirksverwaltungsbehörden) and the administrative authorities of the 15 selfgoverning townships. Other authorities competent for such proceedings are the 14 federal police offices (Bundespolizeidirektion), the Tax authorities (Finazstrafbehörden), the Financial Market Authority (Finanzmarktaufsichtsbehörde) or the Agricultural District Authorities (Agrarbezirksbehörde) and a large number of other, highly specialized administrative authorities.	Administrative authorities can apply only a limited number of investigative measures. The hearing of witnesses and accused persons is always possible. The search of premises or persons is allowed only in a few exceptional cases e.g. according to the Law on Epidemics (Epidemiegesetz 1950), the Law on Ammunitions and Explosive Materials (Schieß- und Sprengmittelgesetz) or the Law on Telekommunikations (Telekommunikations (Telekommunikations (Telekommunikations of tax Authorities in relation to violations of tax laws committed intentionally and in certain cases for the Financial Market Authority. Interception of telecommunications, controlled deliveries or observations are never allowed in these proceedings.
BE	No	N/a	N/a
BG			
CZ	No	N/a	N/a
CY			

DE	No	N/a	N/a
	To some modes		
	In case another		
	authority listed under		
	Article 2) a) ii) in		
	another Member State		
	be the competent		
	issuing authority for a		
	EIO where		
	investigative acts that		
	touch upon		
	fundamental rights are		
	concerned,		
	validation/confirmation		
	is required from one of		
	the judicial authorities		
	in Article 2 a) i) in		
	accordance with the		
	provisions of Council		
	Framework Decision		
	2008/978/JHA of 18		
	December 2008 on the		
	European evidence		
	warrant for the		
	purpose of obtaining		
	objects, documents and		
	data for use in		
	proceedings in		
	criminal matters.		

DK	In general the following authorities are regarded as judicial authorities according to Danish law: the		
	Prosecution Service		
	(Director of Public Prosecutions, the		
	Public Prosecutors and the Chiefs of Police)		
	and the Ministry of		
	justice.		
	In Denmark crimes are investigated by the		
	police. This		
	investigation is subject to the legality control		
	of the Prosecution Service which is		
	composed of the		
	Director of Public Prosecutions, the		
	Public Prosecutors and Chiefs of Police. Some		
	investigative measures		
	have to be approved by the courts at the request		
	of the Prosecution		
	Service		
EE	No	N/a	N/a
EL	No	N/a	N/a
ES	No	N/a	N/a

FI Yes

As to the draft
Directive,
Finnish
preliminary
investigation
authorities
could be
regarded as
judicial
authorities
in
accordance
with Article
2(a)(ii).

For the purposes of the 1959 MLA Convention Finland has declared that the following are deemed to be judicial authorities in Finland:

- The Ministry of Justice,
- The Courts of First Instance, the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court,
- The public prosecutors,
- The police authorities, the customs authorities as well as the frontier guard officers in their capacity of preliminary criminal investigation authorities in criminal proceedings.

This declaration has been given in 1994 and since the 2000 EU MLA Convention supplements the 1959 Convention and it has no other specific provisions on competent authorities, it is valid also for the purposes of the 2000 MLA Convention.

Finland has implemented the Council Framework Decision on European Evidence Warrant by legislation which will come into force on 19 January 2011.

According to the Implementing Act the following authorities are entitled to issue an evidence warrant in Finland.

- the public prosecutors,
- the Courts of First Instance
- the Courts of Appeal
- the Supreme Court
- if the evidence sought is in the possession of the executing authority and the Member State in question has not required a validation by a court, a judge, or a public

According to the Finnish system the head of investigation in most cases is not a prosecutor, and never a judge. Prosecutors and investigation authorities belong to different organisations and approval of prosecutor is not needed to each request for evidence needed during the criminal investigation. We do not have a system of investigative magistrates.

According the Pre-trial Investigation Act (449/1987) a criminal investigation in most cases is led by police authorities and in certain cases by customs or frontier authorities (with respect of crimes falling within their competences). However, apart from certain minor offences, a public prosecutor leads investigation, if the suspected person is a policeman. The pretrial investigation case is led by the head of investigation. Within the said authorities, the head of the pre-trial investigation is official who is competent to decide on arrest. In most cases the head of investigation is a police, customs and frontier authority; he/she is a senior/superior civil servant. In some cases, especially when serious economic or organised crimes are being investigated, the head of investigation has a degree in law. For a special reason, a criminal police sergeant or a police sergeant may act as the head of investigation (but these officials are not entitled to decide on coercive measures) in a case being investigated by the police, and an official specifically entitled to the same by an Act may act as the head of

prosecutor, an evidence warrant may be issues by an official who within the police authorities, customs authorities or frontier authorities is competent to act as the head of investigation. investigation in a case investigated by an authority other than the police.

As to whether these authorities are entitled to order specific investigative measures, depends on the measure in question. Within the said authorities, an official who is competent to decide on arrest, is entitled to order e.g. a house search, a seizure of an object or document, a bodily search (a physical examination only by a doctor or medical personnel), an order to take identification marks or an arrest. A high ranking police and customs officer or Border Guard Headquarters is competent to decide on a controlled delivery. The Chief of the National Bureau of Investigation and Security Police are competent to decide on undercover operations. On the other hand, when e.g. interception of telecommunication or obtaining of call related data, interception (other than telecommunication) is concerned, it is ordered by the Court upon an application of the head of investigation.

The public prosecutors have also competences during the preliminary investigation phase. They may request the police to carry out a criminal investigation or further investigation, as well as comply with the instructions issues by the prosecutor for the securing the objectives of the criminal investigation. In general, preliminary criminal investigation authorities and public prosecutors have a duty to act in cooperation when crimes are being investigated. A public prosecutor is also an official, who is competent to decide on arrest.

			Thus, a public prosecutor is competent to decide on such coercive measures, which require a decision by such an official (described above).
FR	No	N/a	N/a
HU	No	N/a	N/a
IE	No	The Central Authority for Mutual Legal Assistance (located within the Department of Justice) transmits requests for assistance on behalf of the prosecuting authorities. The Central Authority is not a judicial authority. The Central Authority is responsible for the recognition of incoming requests. Neither prosecutorial nor judicial authorities have any function in relation to recognition of incoming requests. Requests are executed by the Central Authority and some measures (e.g., search warrants, freezing of assets) may require judicial involvement.	N/a
IT	No	N/a	N/a
LT	No	N/a	N/a
LU	No	N/a	N/a

LV	Yes	The police authorities as being	The investigator individually in
		investigators of an offence may	the framework of his competence
		decide to use investigative	could not decide to perform all
		measures.	investigative measures, as in
			certain cases the decision of
		The authorisation to perform	investigating judge or court, or
		criminal proceedings on behalf of	approval of a prosecutor is
		the State is held only by officials	required. It should be noted that
		of the institutions specified in the	competence of an investigator and
		Criminal Procedure Law (CPL). A	a prosecutor to perform
		person directing the proceedings	investigative measures in general
		is:	concur.
		1) an investigator or in	Latvian criminal law system does
		exceptional cases a public	not divide competence of an
		prosecutor – in an investigation;	investigator, prosecutor or court
		2) a public prosecutor – in a	with regards to the types of
		criminal prosecution;	offences.
		3) a judge who leads the	We would like to indicate several
		adjudication – in preparing a case	examples of the division of
		for trial;	competences:
		4) the composition of a court –	An investigator himself may:
		during a trial;	interrogate ¹ ; question ² ; confront ³ ;
		5) a judge – after coming into	inspect ⁴ ; examine a person ⁵ ;
		effect of a judgment.	seize ⁶ ; make on-site examination
			of testimony ⁷ ; present for identification ⁸ ; order to store the
		Additionally, it should be	identification ⁸ ; order to store the
		mentioned, that investigator is an	date located in an electronic
		official of an investigative	information system ⁹ ; order to
		institution who is authorised with	make expert-examination.
		an order of the head of the	Investigating judge or court take a
		investigative institution to perform	decision after the initiation of an
		an investigation in criminal	investigator on these investigating
		proceedings.	measures: search ¹⁰ ; disclose the
		An investigator has a duty:	data stored in an electronic
		1) to examine information, which	information system ¹¹ ; provide
		indicate the possible commitment	information or documents from

KPL 145.pants

² KPL 155.pants

³ KPL 157.pants

⁴ KPL 159.pants

⁵ KPL 168.pants

⁶ KPL 186.pants

⁷ KPL 173.pants

⁸ KPL 175.pants

⁹ KPL 191.pants

¹⁰ KPL 179.pants

¹¹ KPL 191.pants

of a criminal offence, and to initiate criminal proceedings as soon as a reason and grounds specified in the Law have been determined or to refuse to initiate criminal proceedings;

- 2) to perform investigative actions in order to ascertain whether a criminal offence has taken place. who committed such an offence, whether a person must be held criminally liable regarding such offence, and to ascertain such person and acquire evidence that gives a basis for holding such person criminally liable; 3) to perform all measures provided for in the CPL for ensuring compensation for
- damages: 4) to select a type of criminal proceedings that ensures a fair regulation of criminal legal relations without unjustified intervention in the life of a person and unfound expenditures; 5) to fulfill the orders of the direct supervisor, supervising public prosecutor, or higher-ranking public prosecutor thereof or the injunctions of the investigating judge.

An investigator has the right: 1) to take any procedural decision in accordance with the procedures specified by the CPL and to perform any procedural action or assign the performing thereof to a member of an investigative group or the executor of procedural tasks:

2) to propose for the supervising public prosecutor to decide the matter regarding the initiation of criminal prosecution;

3) to appeal the instructions of the

credit institution or financial institution ¹; special investigative measures²: control of legal correspondence; control of means of communication; control of data in an automated data processing system; control of the content of transmitted data: audio-control of a site or a person; video-control of a site; surveillance and tracking of a person; surveillance of an object; a special investigative experiment; the acquisition in a special manner of the samples necessary for a comparative study; control of a criminal activity, as well as other measures.

The approval of prosecutor is necessary for investigator to receive data from the merchant of an electronic information system.³ The monitoring of bank account may be performed with approval of investigating judge.4

KPL 121.pants

² KPL 11.nodala

³ KPL 192.pants

KPL 121.pants

		direct supervisor thereof; 4) to appeal the decisions and instructions of the supervising public prosecutor; 5) to appeal the instructions of a higher-ranking public prosecutor; 6) to appeal the decision of an investigating judge.	
MT			
NL	No	N/a	N/a
PL	No	N/a	N/a
PT	No	N/a	N/a
RO	No	N/a	N/a
SE	Yes	a) The Swedish National Police Board and 21 regional Police Authorities in Sweden. b) The Swedish Customs Administration. c) The Swedish Coast Guard.	a) A preliminary investigation is generally lead by the Police if it concerns a simple matter or as long as there is no person found that can be reasonably suspected of having committed the offence. Preliminary investigations regarding certain types of crime are however always led by a prosecutor. There are detailed instructions on when a police inspector or a prosecutor should lead the investigations. Judges do not lead preliminary investigations, but judges may become involved when a prosecutor needs to seek approval for certain intrusive investigative measures such as interception of telecommunications. Within the framework of a police-led preliminary investigation police officers can carry out a number of investigative measures without a decision of a prosecutor or a judge. Some of the investigative measures are then available to the police officers, for example provisional confiscation, seizure, search of premises, body search and body examination etc., provided that certain prerequisites are fulfilled. The Customs Administration (b)

			and Coast Guard (c) have certain powers within their area of competence/field of responsibility.
SI	Yes	In the Republic of Slovenia the authorities who are competent to gather or obtain evidence are the following: - in the pre-trail proceedings: police and investigative judge; - in the investigative phase: public prosecutor and investigative judge; - in the trial stage: public prosecutor and court.	The Slovenian criminal procedure is in general divided into three phases: pre-trail phase, investigative phase and trial phase. The police has leading role in the pre-trail proceedings where its main responsibility is to take steps necessary for discovering the perpetrator, ensuring that the perpetrator or his accomplice do not go into hiding or flee, detecting and preserving traces of crime or objects of value as
		The authorities who are competent for obtaining and gathering the evidence in the proceedings brought by administrative authorities Article 4(b) are: - Offence authorities i.e. administrative authorities and bearers of public authority which supervise implementation of laws and regulations governing offences, and bodies of self-governing local communities vested with authority for offences adjudication pursuant to special regulations. - Courts are offence courts of the first instance and offence courts of the second instance.	evidence, and collecting all information that may be useful for the successful conducting of criminal proceedings. The acts of police are informal and they don't have the nature of investigative measures in the meaning of the proposal for the directive. The cooperation in respect of gathering the needed information from other Member States is based on rules governing police cooperation. There is however one exception i.e. the interrogation of the suspect, which can be regarded as investigative measure and has in that respect the nature of an evidence in later stages of criminal proceeding. Therefore also the police would be able to act as an issuing authority when
		Therefore the authorities other than the ones defined as a judicial authority in accordance with Article 2(a)(ii) of the proposal for a Directive would be: police and offence authorities involved in proceedings brought by administrative authorities where the decision may give rise to proceedings before court (article 4(b)).	the interrogation of the suspect in the other Member State would be needed. As regards the proceedings brought by administrative authorities that may give rise to proceedings before court, the offence authorities have a competence to ex officio and without delay, promptly and

			straightforwardly establish the facts and collect the evidence necessary to adjudicate on the offence. Hence, this doesn't mean that they have the competence to order investigative measures that can be in criminal proceeding ordered by the public prosecutor or judge. Their competence is limited to gathering information and already existing evidences. When they would need to obtain such evidence they would be also able to act as an issuing authority in accordance with the EIO.
SK	No		seed summed when the Bro.
UK	No	By way of further background, under the UK's existing MLA legislation (the Crime and International Cooperation Act 2003 (CICA)) requests for evidence can be issued either by a court or by a designated prosecuting authority. The list of prosecuting authorities which are currently designated is as follows: - The Attorney General for England and Wales; - The Attorney General for Northern Ireland; - The Financial Services Authority The Director of Public Prosecutions and any Crown Prosecutor; - The Director of Public Prosecutions in Northern Ireland - The Director of the Revenue and Customs Prosecutions Office and anyone within that Office authorised by him; - The Director and any designated member of the Serious Fraud Office; - The Secretary of State for Business, Innovation & Skills in respect of his function of investigating and prosecuting offences;	

In addition to the courts and to
these designated prosecuting
authorities, in Scotland the Lord
Advocate and any Procurator
Fiscal can also request MLA.